**How to Find Jesus in the Old Testament** Notes – Pt. 15

* I LOVE typology, as you know, but it is potentially VERY dangerous.
	+ Some people radically abuse typology to spread false teachings
		- Used to manipulate and deceive people into thinking the Bible teaches something it doesn’t teach.
* How it happens
	+ My church or group has this teaching
	+ I can’t find it in the NT
		- But, I can find a “picture” of it in the OT
		- It makes you seem biblical when you’re not
	+ It would be irresponsible of me not to cover this issue
		- Today; Examples from Catholicism on Mariology
		- I don’t know any more consistent abuses of typology than Catholic attempts to find Mariology in the Bible.
			* And I’m only dealing with typology, not other stuff.
				+ I’m encouraging responsible typology.
* My 3 rules
	+ 1) No new theology
		- Typology starts with NT clear teachings and THEN looks for OT pictures
			* We don’t start with extra-biblical teachings
				+ That would be, by nature, NOT part of the revelation
		- Scripture is a completed revelation
			* ***Jude 3b (ESV) contend for the faith that was once for all delivered to the saints.***
		- ***1 Timothy 1:3 (ESV) 3As I urged you when I was going to Macedonia, remain at Ephesus so that you may charge certain persons not to teach any different doctrine,***
			* In other words – we’re NOT looking to smash extrabiblical teaching into these pictures.
		- If I can only find a doctrine in pictures and not in clear teachings, then it is NOT something the Bible teaches.
	+ 2) Jesus is the center
		- ***John 5:46 (ESV) 46For if you believed Moses, you would believe me; for he wrote of me.***
		- ***Rev 19:10b “the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy”***
			* See part 1
			* He’s the one we have the most liberty to speculate about
			* Mariology violates this
	+ 3) The Bible guide us in identifying types
		- Start with the clear
		- Then implied
			* 14 hours of it.
		- Finally, enjoy considering possible other connections
			* BASED ON the pattern already given with the approved types
				+ For instance – people, events, and odd things tend to represent Jesus
				+ Jesus and the gospel is the focus
		- Again, no new teaching. Just connections between teachings and pictures of it.
* I’m not attacking Mary
	+ Mary is so blessed! But
		- Bronze serpent = wonderful… worshiped… destroyed
* Marian Dogmas (necessary)
	+ 1. Immaculate conception – born and stayed without sin
	+ 2. Perpetual Virginity
	+ 3. Divine Maternity - intercession
	+ 4. Glorious Assumption
		- Dogma – you must believe it. – mortal sin and excommunication
	+ Converts to Catholicism struggle here
		- you can’t find this in the Bible
* The following from Scott Hahn (a pillar – endorsed by many bishops and archbishops)
	+ https://youtu.be/o48-Jo2p9wM
	+ Like many Catholics he was bothered when he had trouble finding Mariology in the Bible
	+ **Clip 1** – “I’d like to share with you how I found the blessed virgin Mary in scripture. Typology.”
		- This rescues Mariology
	+ **Clip 2-** “if Jesus is the New Adam, Mary is the New Eve. If Jesus is the New Moses, Mary is the New Ark of the Covenant. If Jesus is the New Solomon the Son of David, then Mary is the Queen Mother of the Son of David.”
* 3 types – the type gives the theology
	+ New Eve = immaculate conception
	+ Ark = perpetual virginity, assumption
	+ Queen Mother = divine maternity/intercession
	+ The following from “Mary, the Ark of the New Covenant” https://www.catholic.com/magazine/print-edition/mary-the-ark-of-the-new-covenant
* **New Eve stuff**
	+ How they sell it –
		- Jesus is the New Adam… Mary the new Eve
		- Jesus supposedly said it.
		- ***John 2:4 (ESV) 4And Jesus said to her, “Woman, what does this have to do with me? My hour has not yet come.”***
			* A special term referring to her as the New Eve
		- ***John 19:26–27 (ESV) 26When Jesus saw his mother and the disciple whom he loved standing nearby, he said to his mother, “Woman, behold, your son!” 27Then he said to the disciple, “Behold, your mother!” And from that hour the disciple took her to his own home.***
			* This is her being made the new Eve and mother of us all.
			* Notice that the meaning is interpreted for us. “to his own home”
		- Gunai – not special. It’s how Jesus always talked to women. – Greek abuse
		- To the Samaritan woman at the well
			* ***John 4:21 (ESV) 21Jesus said to her, “Woman, believe me, the hour is coming when neither on this mountain nor in Jerusalem will you worship the Father.***
		- ToMary Magdalene
			* ***John 20:15 (ESV) 15Jesus said to her, “Woman, why are you weeping? Whom are you seeking?” Supposing him to be the gardener, she said to him, “Sir, if you have carried him away, tell me where you have laid him, and I will take him away.”***
* **Scott Hahn on John 1 (new eve)**
	+ A bit creative. – see if you can follow his argumentation (not just the bigness of his speech)
		- **See Clip 5 – 7 days of John**
	+ Jn 1 does have a creation motif
	+ Jn 1:29-43 a repetition of “the next day” means this is related to the days of creation.
		- Jn 1:29, 35, 43
		- The 3rd day of John 2:1 is the 6th day actually, which is symbolic of the day God made Adam and Eve
			* This gives us a connection to the marriage covenant (being that there is a wedding at Cana)
			* Jesus says to Mary “woman” which relates to Adam naming Eve “woman”
			* Somehow this, coupled with Jesus’ first “sign” being water turning to wine, means that Mary is the new Eve.
		- Jn 19 – Jesus says “woman, behold your son”
	+ Jn 1:19-28 – Day one. The religious leaders question John and he tells of the one coming after him (related to creation how?
	+ Jn 1:29-34 – Day two. John the Baptist identifies Jesus as the One.
		- Related to creation how?
	+ Jn 1:35-42 – Day three. Andrew and Simon go to follow Jesus.
		- Related to creation how?
	+ Jn 1:43-51 – Day four. Philip and Nathaniel join Jesus
		- Related to creation how?
	+ ***John 2:1–5 (ESV) 1On the third day there was a wedding at Cana in Galilee, and the mother of Jesus was there. 2Jesus also was invited to the wedding with his disciples. 3When the wine ran out, the mother of Jesus said to him, “They have no wine.” 4And Jesus said to her, “Woman, what does this have to do with me? My hour has not yet come.” 5His mother said to the servants, “Do whatever he tells you.”***
		- Third day of the week
		- He says this 3rd day takes us to the 7th day of creation
			* And Adam awoke to see Eve on that day.
	+ Problems with this
		- In Gen 1, man AND woman were made on day 6.
		- The title “woman” isn’t special
		- There is no creation motif in Jn 1:19-2:12
			* If anything, it’s a “third day” motif about the resurrection
		- It’s far too vague and the central points are unsubstantiated.
* Scott Hahn on the curse and Mary
	+ Gen 3 – eve (according to Hahn) AND her seed will crush the head of the serpent.
		- **See clip 3 -**
			* “the woman and her seed shall crush the head of the serpent”
			* More prophecy than type.
		- ***Genesis 3:15 (ESV) 15I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your offspring and her offspring; he shall bruise your head, and you shall bruise his heel.”***
			* One agent acting to crush the serpent. “He”
				+ Not “the woman and her seed shall crush the head of the serpent”
				+ Honestly, shocking.

Doctrine “co-redemptrix”

* What other theology does this give them?
	+ Without original sin
		- And UNLIKE the old Eve
			* She stays that way
			* She obeys God’s command
			* She doesn’t die?
* Does NT tell us who Eve might foreshadow?
	+ Eve was the bride of Adam (this is the focus in Gen 1)
		- Awkward – His mother?
	+ If anything, Eve is the church, not Mary.
		- Compare the merits of “woman” to “bride of Christ” the last Adam
		- Eph 5 – husband and wife = Christ and church
		- 2 Corinthians 11:2 (ESV) 2For I feel a divine jealousy for you, since I betrothed you to one husband, to present you as a pure virgin to Christ.
		- Rev 19 and 21 – the marriage
	+ If there IS typology of Mary, how does it give us new theology about her?
* **The Ark of the Covenant**
	+ Jesus is the New Moses… Mary is the New Ark of the Covenant
* From National Catholic Register site – Article *“Amazing Parallels Between Mary and the Ark of the Covenant”*
	+ HS overshadowed the Ark of the Covenant and overshadowed Mary
		- ***Luke 1:35 (ESV) And the angel answered her, “The Holy Spirit will come upon you, and the power of the Most High will overshadow you; therefore the child to be born will be called holy—the Son of God.***
			* episkiadzo
		- *The Greek word for overshadow is episkiasei, which describes a bright, glorious cloud. It is used with reference to the cloud of transfiguration of Jesus (Mt 17:5; Mk 9:7; Lk 9:34) and also has a connection to the shekinah glory of God (Ex 24:15-16; 40:34-38; 1 Ki 8:10). -* *http://www.ncregister.com/blog/darmstrong/amazing-parallels-between-mary-and-the-ark-of-the-covenant*
			* It just means “overshadow” and is in Luke
			* ***Acts 5:15 (ESV) 15so that they even carried out the sick into the streets and laid them on cots and mats, that as Peter came by at least his shadow might fall on some of them.***
* *More direct parallels occur as well:* [4 from David greeting the Ark and Elizabeth greeting Mary]
* **1- come to me?**
	+ *2 Samuel 6:9 And David was afraid of the LORD that day; and he said, “How can the ark of the LORD come to me?”*
	+ *Luke 1:43 And why is this granted me, that the mother of my Lord should come to me?*
		- But this is an occasion where David did NOT bring the Ark to himself whereas Elizabeth brought Mary to her home.
			* ***2 Samuel 6:5–11 (ESV) 5And David and all the house of Israel were celebrating before the Lord, with songs and lyres and harps and tambourines and castanets and cymbals. 6And when they came to the threshing floor of Nacon, Uzzah put out his hand to the ark of God and took hold of it, for the oxen stumbled. 7And the anger of the Lord was kindled against Uzzah, and God struck him down there because of his error, and he died there beside the ark of God. 8And David was angry because the Lord had broken out against Uzzah. And that place is called Perez-uzzah to this day. 9And David was afraid of the Lord that day, and he said, “How can the ark of the Lord come to me?” 10So David was not willing to take the ark of the Lord into the city of David. But David took it aside to the house of Obed-edom the Gittite. 11And the ark of the Lord remained in the house of Obed-edom the Gittite three months, and the Lord blessed Obed-edom and all his household.***
		- Just the phrase “come to me”
		- *Matthew 3:14 (ESV) 14John would have prevented him, saying, “I need to be baptized by you, and do you come to me?”*
			* Is John like David? Then Jesus is the Ark without Mary.
* **2- shouting**
	+ *2 Samuel 6:15 So David and all the house of Israel brought up the ark of the LORD with shouting, and with the sound of the horn.*
	+ *Luke 1:42 and she exclaimed with a loud cry, “Blessed are you among women, and blessed is the fruit of your womb!”*
		- 3 months later – this is no longer him greeting the Ark.
		- All Israel shouting – though no blessing comes
			* Is it just the volume of Elizabeth’s voice?
* **3- leaping**
	+ *2 Samuel 6:14, 16 And David danced before the LORD with all his might; and David was girded with a linen ephod. . . . King David leaping and dancing before the LORD . . . (cf. 1 Chr. 15:29)*
	+ *Luke 1:44 For behold, when the voice of your greeting came to my ears, the babe in my womb leaped for joy.*
		- This dancing is after the three months, not when he greeted the Ark.
		- Elizabeth didn’t dance or leap, John did. (now John is David)
* **4- 3 months in the house**
	+ *2 Samuel 6:10-11 So David was not willing to take the ark of the LORD into the city of David; but David took it aside to the house of O’bed-e’dom the Gittite. And the ark of the LORD remained in the house of O’bed-e’dom the Gittite three months . . .*
	+ *Luke 1:39, 56 In those days Mary arose and went with haste into the hill country, to a city of Judah, . . . And Mary remained with her about three months, and returned to her home.*
		- First Elizabeth is David, then she is all Israel, then she is David, then John is David, then Elizabeth is Obed-Edom.
		- But it’s what they DO with it that is really an issue.
			* Because the type could be good but the theology is not.
		- *Thus, by analogy, it was fitting and proper for Mary the ark of the new covenant, Theotokos (“bearer of God”), who had the sublime honor of carrying God incarnate in her womb, to be exceptionally (perfectly) holy.* Still from - *http://www.ncregister.com/blog/darmstrong/amazing-parallels-between-mary-and-the-ark-of-the-covenant*
	+ How they get their theology from this
		- Article on Catholic.com called “Amazing Parallels Between Mary and the Ark of the Covenant”
			* *“God was very specific about every exact detail of the ark (Ex 25-30). It was a place where God himself would dwell (Ex 25:8). God wanted his words—inscribed on stone—housed in a perfect container covered with pure gold within and without. How much more would he want his Word—Jesus—to have a perfect dwelling place! If the only begotten Son were to take up residence in the womb of a human girl, would he not make her flawless?”*
				+ https://www.catholic.com/magazine/print-edition/mary-the-ark-of-the-new-covenant
			* Notice this doesn’t argue from a NT truth but from an analogy without theological support
	+ Problems:
		- The tabernacle, not the Ark, is overshadowed
			* ***Exodus 40:34–38 (ESV) 34Then the cloud covered the tent of meeting, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle. 35And Moses was not able to enter the tent of meeting because the cloud settled on it, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle. 36Throughout all their journeys, whenever the cloud was taken up from over the tabernacle, the people of Israel would set out. 37But if the cloud was not taken up, then they did not set out till the day that it was taken up. 38For the cloud of the Lord was on the tabernacle by day, and fire was in it by night, in the sight of all the house of Israel throughout all their journeys.***
				+ The Tabernacle represents Christ
			* The tabernacle was the dwelling, not the Ark
				+ God’s Spirit was above and outside the Ark!

“behind the veil” not “under the lid”

* + - Mary isn’t called the Ark
		- It creates new theology
			* Catholic apologist Peter Williams - Therefore Mary was **perpetually a virgin**
				+ this from “The Marian Dogmas Debate” – opening statement [around 22 minutes] by Peter Williams
			* Because you wouldn’t use the Ark to store ordinary things (after storing the Tablets, the Manna and the Rod)
			* So Mary wouldn’t be used for ordinary births and child carrying after carrying Jesus.
	+ Bodily Assumption in Rev 11:19 (Ark in heaven)
		- Heb copy?
		- Again, new theology from assumed typology
* **New Queen Mother**
	+ Solomon is the Son of David and Jesus is the ultimate Son of David therefore Mary is the ultimate Queen Mother
		- Clip 7 – Queen Mother
			* Listen for the reasoning.
			* So, she intercedes and it’s ok.
	+ 1 Kings 2:13–24 (ESV) 13Then Adonijah the son of Haggith came to Bathsheba the mother of Solomon. And she said, “Do you come peacefully?” He said, “Peacefully.” 14Then he said, “I have something to say to you.” She said, “Speak.” 15He said, “You know that the kingdom was mine, and that all Israel fully expected me to reign. However, the kingdom has turned about and become my brother’s, for it was his from the Lord. 16And now I have one request to make of you; do not refuse me.” She said to him, “Speak.” 17And he said, “Please ask King Solomon—he will not refuse you—to give me Abishag the Shunammite as my wife.” 18Bathsheba said, “Very well; I will speak for you to the king.” 19So Bathsheba went to King Solomon to speak to him on behalf of Adonijah. And the king rose to meet her and bowed down to her. Then he sat on his throne and had a seat brought for the king’s mother, and she sat on his right. 20Then she said, “I have one small request to make of you; do not refuse me.” And the king said to her, “Make your request, my mother, for I will not refuse you.” 21She said, “Let Abishag the Shunammite be given to Adonijah your brother as his wife.” 22King Solomon answered his mother, “And why do you ask Abishag the Shunammite for Adonijah? Ask for him the kingdom also, for he is my older brother, and on his side are Abiathar the priest and Joab the son of Zeruiah.” 23Then King Solomon swore by the Lord, saying, “God do so to me and more also if this word does not cost Adonijah his life! 24Now therefore as the Lord lives, who has established me and placed me on the throne of David my father, and who has made me a house, as he promised, Adonijah shall be put to death today.”
		- Hahn acts like this is an office and normal intercessory role. Says that even Solomon’s brother would rather go through the queen mother than directly to him.
			* Implying it was normal (an institution)
			* Implying it was acceptable
		- Result? – read it all.
			* Adonijah went through her to manipulate and deceive
			* Adonijah is killed, her request rejected.
			* Catholic response?
				+ The type falls short!
				+ Jesus is greater than Solomon so Mary is greater than Bathsheba!
				+ Problem: it falls short before it even IS a type.
				+ Never called a type
				+ Queen mother intercession isn’t really a thing
	+ Queen Mother isn’t such a clear picture of goodness
		- ***1 Kings 15:13 (ESV) 13He also removed Maacah his mother from being queen mother because she had made an abominable image for Asherah. And Asa cut down her image and burned it at the brook Kidron.***
			* Removed because she made an image for Asherah (Queen of heaven)
		- Other Queen Mothers
			* Jezebel
			* Athaliah, who kills the royal family and steals the throne till Jehoida (the good guy) has her killed and puts Joash in his rightful place on the throne. (2 Kings 11)
* Did Mary have a special authority in Jesus’ ministry?
	+ ***Luke 8:19–21 (ESV) 19Then his mother and his brothers came to him, but they could not reach him because of the crowd. 20And he was told, “Your mother and your brothers are standing outside, desiring to see you.” 21But he answered them, “My mother and my brothers are those who hear the word of God and do it.”***
* How “Catholic Answers” (Catholic.com) summarizes the Queen Mother typology in an article “Is Mary’s Queenship Biblical”
	+ *Understanding Mary as queen mother sheds light on her important intercessory role in the Christian life. Just like the queen mother of the Davidic kingdom, Mary serves as advocate for the people in the Kingdom of God today. Thus, we should approach our queen mother with confidence, knowing that she carries our petitions to her royal son and that he responds to her as Solomon did to Bathsheba: "I will never refuse you." -* *https://www.catholic.com/magazine/print-edition/is-marys-queenship-biblical*
		- But he did. Not an institution. Not identified with Mary.
* Perpetual virginity also in Ezekiel 44
	+ Ezekiel – gates are only opened for the Messiah and then closed.
		- ***Ezekiel 44:1–2 (ESV) 1Then he brought me back to the outer gate of the sanctuary, which faces east. And it was shut. 2And the Lord said to me, “This gate shall remain shut; it shall not be opened, and no one shall enter by it, for the Lord, the God of Israel, has entered by it. Therefore it shall remain shut.***
			* Problem – now she is the Temple? Or the gate
			* Problem – “entered” not exited.
			* Problem – the prince seems to be able to go in and out (vs 3-4)
* How many OT types of Jesus are specifically given in the NT?
	+ Bronze serpent
	+ As Jonah
	+ A prophet like unto Moses
	+ The tabernacle
	+ Aaron the high priest
	+ Melchizedek
	+ Like the rejected prophets from before (in parables, in Acts 7, etc)
	+ The New Adam
	+ The Word through whom creation comes
	+ The true manna
	+ The rock that was struck
	+ The Passover lamb
* How many of Mary?
	+ None
	+ And if they are there… they don’t give us brand new theology
* Conclusions
	+ They don’t start with clear teachings
	+ They don’t use any NT identifications
	+ They conclude with new theology
		- This is what cults do.
			* Mother God
			* Mohammed
			* Mormonism
* One rule will save you!
	+ OT types don’t establish theology
* Isn’t Mariology like the Trinity?
	+ No, it is explicitly taught not “pictured alone”
* Things I’m not covering
	+ “Who would want to go into the woman who had carried God?”
	+ “If you could make your mother sinless wouldn’t you”
	+ “Jesus would honor His mother as the Law says”
	+ Herod like Pharaoh?
* Mother God NT
	+ Ahnsahnhong is like Jesus because
		- Jesus was rejected
		- Jesus was not recognized the religious leaders
		- People didn’t believe in Him
* Also do Immaculate Conception, Bodily Assumption,
* Just because you quote the Bible and say “this means that” it doesn’t make it so.
	+ We have to listen
* Anyone other than Jesus identified?
	+ John the Baptist is Elijah who is to come
* Ezekiel – gates are only opened for the Messiah and then closed.
	+ Herod like Pharaoh?
* How many OT types of Mary are specifically given in the NT?
	+ 0
* Islam
	+ The Quran –
		- 7:157a “Who follow the messenger, the gentile Prophet, described in the Torah and the Gospel”
		- 61:6 “And when Jesus, son of Mary, said: ‘O Children of Israel, I am sent to you by God to confirm the Torah (sent) before me, and to give you good tidings of an apostle who will come after me, whose name is Ahmad (the praised one).”
	+ Deuteronomy 18:15 (ESV) 15“The Lord your God will raise up for you a prophet like me from among you, from your brothers—it is to him you shall listen—
	+ Mohammed and Moses conquered Palestine
	+ Mohammed and Moses instated law for their community
	+ Had to take flight at the hands of their enemies
	+ Mo led his people free from the Egyptians
		- Mh led his followers from the persecution of the Meccans
	+ Mo preached for 10 years in Midian
		- Mh preached for 10 years in Mecca
	+ Mo saved by a wall of water from his enemies
		- Mh was saved by a spider from his enemies
	+ Song of Solomon 5:16 (ESV)
	+ 16His mouth is most sweet, and he is altogether desirable. This is my beloved and this is my friend, O daughters of Jerusalem.
		- Desireable = Mahamedim
			* Yeah, he named himself that.
			* It’s not a name, it’s a description.
			* It’s plural
			* It’s mahamadim
		- No grounding in theology.
		- From this dramatized video - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U0F0KkU4xjM
* Mormonism